| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| | 10/560,188 | LAARHUIS ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | JUTAI KAO | 2473 |
| I Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u> | | |
| (1) <u>JUTAI KAO</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Mark Joy (Reg. No. 35,562). | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 28 March 2011 | Time: <u>9:30 AM</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: N/A | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner requested and received an amended abstract less than 150 words. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (A | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |